

1 **Contextual note – SESAR Solution description form for deployment planning**

2 **Purpose:**

3 *This contextual note introduces a SESAR Solution (for which maturity has been assessed as*
4 *sufficient to support a decision for industrialization) with a summary of the results stemming*
5 *from R&D activities contributing to deliver it. It provides to any interested reader (external*
6 *and internal to the SESAR programme) an introduction to the SESAR Solution in terms of*
7 *scope, main operational and performance benefits, relevant system impacts as well as*
8 *additional activities to be conducted during the industrialization phase or as part of*
9 *deployment. This contextual note complements the technical data pack comprising the*
10 *SESAR deliverables required for further industrialization/deployment.*

11

12 **Improvements in Air Traffic Management (ATM)**

13 The SESAR Solution “Enhanced terminal operations with automatic RNP transition to
14 ILS/GLS” consists of an innovative Required Navigation Performance (RNP) approach
15 procedure transitioning to a final precision segment provided by Instrument Landing System
16 (ILS) / Ground Based Augmentation Landing System (GLS).

17 The SESAR Solution focused on the initial, intermediate and final approach segments:

- 18 • A-RNP or RNP APCH (RNP values from 1 to 0.3NM) with Radius to Fix (RF) legs for
19 lateral navigation in preference to fly-by or fly-over waypoints, and, where
20 appropriate, the provision of an RF leg in the Intermediate Approach Segment
21 joined directly to ILS/GLS Final Approach Segment.

22 This SESAR Solution could be integrated with the following operations:

- 23 • Continuous Descent Operation (CDO), where possible, for the vertical profile with
24 barometric vertical reference;
- 25 • Increased Glide Slope Final Approach Segment (FAS), taking into account ATC and
26 Aircraft limitation in terms of final approach segment length/slope;

27 Traditionally there have been two different types of approach procedure, Precision
28 Approach (PA) and Non-Precision Approach (NPA) procedures. Instrumental Landing System
29 (ILS) and GBAS Landing System (GLS) are classified as precision approaches and are
30 considered the safest; furthermore practically all aircraft equipped for instrument flight
31 have ILS capability, which is the most commonly used PA.

32 Improvements to safety are achieved by more accurate positioning of the aircraft during the
33 approach (RNP part).

34

35 Improvements in Environmental and Fuel efficiency Noise Sustainability are delivered
36 through the improved flexibility of airspace design (through RF leg) aiming at avoiding noise

founding members



Avenue de Cortenbergh 100 | B -1000 Bruxelles
www.sesarju.eu

37 sensitive areas (thus reducing noise) and at shortening the approach path (thus reducing
38 emissions/fuel burnt and distance flown).

39

40 **Operational Improvement Steps (OIs) & Enablers**

- 41 • AOM-0605: Enhanced terminal operations with automatic RNP transition to
42 ILS/GLS/LPV. Only the RNP transition to ILS/GLS part of the OI Step is covered by this
43 Solution.
- 44 • A/C-07 Flight management and guidance for RNP transition to ILS/GLS/LPV
45 Applicable Integrated Roadmap Dataset is DS15.

46

47 **Background and validation process**

48 The SESAR Solution has been validated through a series of activities including six Fast Time
49 Simulations, five Real Time Simulations, and Flight Trial and Demonstration, focusing on a
50 range of objectives that encompasses the performance assessments, the acceptability,
51 usability and feasibility of Curved RNP transition to ILS/GLS precision approach procedures
52 by ATCOs, the (airborne) flyability and acceptability by Flight Crew. A high level summary of
53 each validation is presented hereafter:

54

- 55 • Fast Time Simulation
 - 56 1. Validation, of Curved RNP transition to GLS Precision Approach and
57 combination of Curved RNP transition to GLS Precision Approach and
58 Increased Glide Slope concepts addressing capacity, predictability,
59 environmental sustainability and fuel efficiency KPA, for Malpensa,
60 Stockholm and Frankfurt airports at V2 level of maturity using “AirTOP”
61 platform.
 - 62 2. Validation of RNP to GLS placed in V2 phase taking into account Palermo
63 Airport Scenario through “RAMS Plus” platform in order to address capacity,
64 environmental sustainability and efficiency KPA.
 - 65 3. Validation of RNP to GLS placed in V2 phase taking into account the Palermo
66 Airport Scenario on “FPSAT” platform used for ground validation purposes to
67 address flyability point of view.
 - 68 4. RNP to GLS precision approach transition flight simulations at V2 level of
69 maturity addressing procedure design criteria using FPSAT platform on
70 Palermo airport environment.

71

- 72 • Real Time Simulations:

founding members



Avenue de Cortenbergh 100 | B -1000 Bruxelles
www.sesarju.eu

Enhanced terminal operations with RNP transition to ILS/GLS

- 73 1. RNP to xLS flight simulations reproducing Boeing B737-300, Boeing B777-
74 200, Airbus A320-200, Airbus A340-300, Embraer E190, Bombardier Q400
75 to study procedure design criteria for RNP to xLS transitions.
- 76 2. RNP to ILS simulations at V2 level of maturity on Thales ATR-600 cockpit
77 bench to validate the flyability and technical feasibility to assess the flyability
78 and technical feasibility from an aircraft point of view of the RNP to ILS
79 transition.
- 80 3. Validation of RNP to GLS approach procedures on A320 cockpit simulator, at
81 V3 level of maturity, and focusing on a realistic scenario at the location of the
82 Palermo airport addressing human performance and safety TA from a flight
83 crew point of view.
- 84 4. Validation, for Malpensa airport at V2 level of maturity using “eDEP” and
85 “GRA simulator” platform, of Curved RNP transition to GLS Precision
86 Approach and combination of Curved RNP transition to GLS Precision
87 Approach and Increased Glide Slope concepts addressing human
88 performances and safety TA from both flight crew and controllers points of
89 view.
- 90 5. Validation, for Malpensa airport at V3 level of maturity using “IBP” platforms,
91 of Curved RNP transition to GLS Precision Approach and combination of
92 Curved RNP transition to GLS Precision Approach and Increased Glide Slope
93 concepts addressing human performances and safety TA from controllers
94 point of view.
- 95
- 96 • Flight Trials and Demonstration:
- 97 1. Flight Trial validation at V3 level of maturity using “Malpensa airport” and
98 “Airbus A320 Test aircraft” of Curved RNP transition to ILS Precision
99 Approach concept addressing human performances and safety from both
100 flight crew and controllers’ point of view;
- 101 2. Demonstration at early v4 level of maturity using Larnaca and Paphos
102 airports of Curved RNP transition to ILS Precisions Approach concept
103 addressing Safety impact reduction, increase flight efficiency and
104 environment impact mitigation (mainly noise).
- 105

106 **Results and performance achievements**

107

108 The main findings from the overall validation exercises can be summarised as follows

109 Environmental Noise Sustainability

founding members



Avenue de Cortenbergh 100 | B -1000 Bruxelles
www.sesarju.eu

Enhanced terminal operations with RNP transition to ILS/GLS

110 The observed results confirm the expectation of a reduction of noise with an added benefit
111 when RNP to GLS/ILS is combined with Increased Glide Slope.

112 Fuel and Flight Efficiency, Capacity and Predictability

113 The results show that there are no significant effect on capacity and depending on the local
114 implementation of the RNP to GLS/ILS procedures it could provide benefit in distance flown
115 as well as in fuel burnt/ CO2 emission and a potential negative effect on arrival delay due to
116 the integration of straight-in approaches and Curved RNP transition to GLS approaches.

117 Human Performance

118 • ATC

119 ○ RNP to GLS/ILS was feasible and acceptable in terms of workload, teamwork,
120 situational awareness and usability,.

121 • Pilot

122 ○ RNP/GLS procedures were easily flown (approach preparation, use of existing
123 SOP, stabilisation gate...), but required a specific monitoring of the transition.

124 Safety

125 • ATC

126 ○ The appropriate design of the Curved RNP to xLS approach is fundamental for
127 the integration of the procedure within a real operational environment.

128 ○ Situational awareness was always maintained at an acceptable level (above
129 the mean value). Furthermore safety level was not affected in terms of Traffic
130 Separation and Sequencing, although an increase of traffic monitoring
131 complexity was observed, causing a potential lack of attention about other
132 tasks to be performed. Phraseology was not significantly affected.

133 • Pilot

134 ○ The stabilization criteria were reached when pilots applied current SOPs.

135 Procedure Design:

136 About RNP to GLS/ILS procedure construction:

- 137 • Final turn (RF leg) of the RNP transition can end directly at the Final Approach Point;
- 138 • The minimum distance from the RF leg end to the runway threshold is 5NM for
139 autoland and stabilization requirements;
- 140 • A straight segment (aligned with the FAS) can be included between the RF leg and
141 the FAP. In this case, the FAP can be located as close as 3 NM from runway
142 threshold, while the RF leg respects the aforementioned requirement of 5 NM;
- 143 • Designing procedure with RNP value below 0.3 is not recommended. On the other
144 hand, use of GNSS and AP/FD should be required to limit aircraft Total System Error;

founding members



Avenue de Cortenbergh 100 | B -1000 Bruxelles
www.sesarju.eu

Release 5 SESAR Solution ID #09
Enhanced terminal operations with RNP transition to ILS/GLS

- 145 • The vertical path of the RNP transition before the FAP shall be designed to allow
146 aircraft systems to ensure that the aircraft is below the GLS glideslope when entering
147 the localizer full scale deflection for a defined range of expected conditions.

148

149 **Recommendations and Additional activities**

150 The integration of curved RNP to GLS/ILS procedure in the current operational environment
151 is subject to an appropriate procedure design, a potential regulation of usage of such
152 procedures and local evolution of HMI to better support the local procedure.

153 The challenges of integration are relevant for mixed approach mode and in medium and
154 high traffic density environments: it is recommended to investigate ways to minimise the
155 impact on the integration on current operations when mixing curved RNP to GLS with
156 standard straight in approaches, in particular for medium and high density environments.
157 SESAR Demonstration such as RISE explored how vectoring and step descent clearances
158 could be used in this context.

159 The proposed charts are globally satisfactory, but the waypoints naming should be defined
160 to ease the crew cross-check and monitoring (name instead of number or sorted waypoints
161 names if numbers used).

162

163 **Actors impacted by the SESAR Solution**

164

165 Airspace Users (Flight Crew) and ACC, APP, TWR controllers.

166

167 **Impact on Aircraft System**

168

169 There is no impact of RNP to xLS operations on A/C systems.

170

171 **Impact on Ground Systems**

172

173 Controller working position should support RNP to xLS operations in terms of HMI.
174 Air traffic management support tools in terms of sequencing and spacing, when provided,
175 should take into account the RNP to xLS operations.

176

177 **Regulatory Framework Considerations**

178

179 No specific regulation exists for RNP to xLS operations. Only separate regulation for RNP
180 operations and for ILS/GLS operations exists. There are some needs to “fill the gap”
181 between these 2 worlds: particularly it should be defined until which point of the procedure
182 RNP requirements (performance and functional) must be demonstrated.

183 **Standardization Framework Considerations**

founding members



Avenue de Cortenbergh 100 | B -1000 Bruxelles
www.sesarju.eu

Release 5 SESAR Solution ID #09
Enhanced terminal operations with RNP transition to ILS/GLS

184

185 Today, very few specific standards exist for RNP to GLS/ILS operations (PANS-OPS, FAA order
186 8260.58, FAA PARC recommendations,...).

187

188 Today, the main lack is related to RNP to GLS /ILS procedure design standards. Some
189 procedure design criteria were proposed as part of the SESAR validation exercises, so as to
190 ensure the procedure remains flyable (Intermediate segment slope, minimum final segment
191 length,...).

192

193 Current PANS-OPS general criteria allow that RF legs ending at the FAF for SBAS CAT I
194 according to PANS-OPS, Part III, Section 2, 2.4.1.4/2.4.1.5. Since RF legs ending at FAF are
195 already authorized for SBAS CAT I operations, a recommendation could be to extend this
196 permission to GLS approaches.

197 In addition, it may also be recommended to review current PANS-OPS limits for RF legs
198 ending at FAF (track change < 45° and RF radius > 2.55 NM), which seems too restrictive.

199 Those proposals are to be forwarded to Instrument Flight Procedures Panel (IFPP) who
200 maintains the PANS OPS.

201

202 In addition, for precision approaches, the ARINC 424 standard requires that three waypoints
203 be defined for the final approach segment: the FAF (Final Approach Course Fix), the
204 FAF/FAP (Final Approach Fix / Point) and the MAPt (Missed Approach Point fix). Therefore,
205 the coding in a NavDB of an RF leg directly to FAF/FAP is not possible with current ARINC
206 standard.

207 **Considerations of Regulatory Oversight and Certification Activities**

208

209 Compliance demonstration with interoperability, safety & performance requirements
210 allocated to the airborne domain through dedicated SESAR INTEROP & SPR documents are
211 part of aircraft certification activities. The SESAR standards (INTEROP & SPR documents)
212 have to be recognized by the EASA through dedicated Certification Review Item.

213

214 **Solution Data pack**

215

216 The Data pack for this Solution includes the following documents:

- 217
- 218 • 06.08.08 D05 Enhanced Arrival Procedures Enabled by GBAS - INTEROP –
Consolidation (RNP to GLS);
 - 219 • 06.08.08 D04 Enhanced Arrival Procedures Enabled by GBAS - SPR – Consolidation;

founding members



Avenue de Cortenbergh 100 | B -1000 Bruxelles
www.sesarju.eu

Release 5 SESAR Solution ID #09
Enhanced terminal operations with RNP transition to ILS/GLS

- 220 • SESAR 9.09 D25 RNP to XLS functional requirements- Final.
221

222 **Intellectual Property Rights (foreground)**

223 The foreground is owned by the SJU.

founding members



Avenue de Cortenbergh 100 | B -1000 Bruxelles
www.sesarju.eu

©SESAR JOINT UNDERTAKING, 2016. Created by the SESAR Joint Undertaking within the frame of the SESAR Programme co-financed by the EU and EUROCONTROL. Reprint with approval of publisher and the source properly acknowledged.